



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/533,042	03/22/2000	Ryuichi Sunayama	826.1597/JDH	826.1597/JDH 7804	
21171 7	01/08/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			KNAPP, JUSTIN R		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182	9	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

Y	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/533,042	SUNAYAMA ET AL.	O
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin Knapp	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	-		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment t
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	/	
10. Other:	Juffe		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

JEFFREY GAFFIX
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Part of Paper No. 7



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues that change the scope of the following claims include: Claim 1: "and wherein said storing circuit stores the return address of the subroutine as the information specifying the return address."; Claim 4: "and wherein only the taken instruction of a branch instruction is thus registered."; Claim 6: "commonly designated as the branch destination address register."; Claim 10: "and wherein the predicted branch is the branch of the instruction equivalent to the subroutine return in an architecture for which a particular instruction for a subroutine is not prepared."; Claim 13: "in the branch history of"; Claims 14-18: "and wherein only the taken instruction of a branch instruction is thus registered." and new Claim 19..